

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/904,042		07/11/2001	K. Michael Han	0180129	1940	
25700	7590	02/24/2004		EXAMINER		
FARJAMI 16148 SANI			WOJCIECHOWICZ, EDWARD JOSEPH			
IRVINE, CA 92618				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,				2815		

DATE MAILED: 02/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)	/L				
		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
0.00		09/904,042		HAN, K. MICHAEL					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Edward J Wojcied		2815					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	'S				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, howe y within the statutory min will apply and will expire S , cause the application to	ver, may a reply be tin mum of thirty (30) day: SIX (6) MONTHS from become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commu D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.				
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 N</u>	lovember 2003.							
•—	<u> </u>	action is non-fina	al.		•				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 8,9,14-17 and 19-22 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 8,9,14-17 and 19-22 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from considera							
Applicati	ion Papers								
•	The specification is objected to by the Examine		acted to by the l	Evaminar					
10)[_]	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	=			.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex								
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	is have been rece is have been rece rity documents ha u (PCT Rule 17.2	ived. ived in Applicati ive been receive (a)).	on No ed in this National Stag	ge				
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		Interview Summary						
2)  Notice 3) Inform	re of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	5) 🔲	Paper No(s)/Mail Ď		2)				

Application/Control Number: 09/904,042

Art Unit: 2815

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 8, 9, 17 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tsukiji, of record, for the reasons given in the previous action, hereby incorporated by reference. The structure shown in Tsukiji is essentially the same structure shown in applicant's Fig. 3 and meets the recitation of amended claims 8 and 9. That is, the "gate insulating layer" (102) in Tsukiji is situated over the entire length of the channel, and substantially less than the entire length of the source and drain regions. Just as shown in Fig. 3 of the instant application, the thicker parts of the gate insulating layer, which are in contact with the substrate surface, do not extend substantially over the source and drain regions.

Applicant argues that the layer (122) in Fig. 5G of Tsukiji extends substantially over the source and drain regions, contrary to the claim limitations, however, Tsukiji does not consider this insulating layer as part of the gate insulation, per se, but rather refers to this overlying layer as an inter-layer insulator (see col. 7, 1.60-65). Consequently, the actual gate insulating layer of Tsukiji would meet the claim limitation of extending substantially less than the entire length of the source and drain regions.

Indeed, those skilled in the art recognize that applicant's own Fig. 3 depiction represents an incomplete structure in that clearly additional insulation layers, formed around source/drain contacts, would be needed to complete applicant's own device, almost certainly resulting in additional inter-level insulating layers being formed above the source and drain regions, similar to what is shown in Fig. 5G of Tsukiji.

Application/Control Number: 09/904,042

Art Unit: 2815

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 14-16 and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsukiji, and further in view of Libera et al, of record, for the reasons given in the previous action, hereby incorporated by reference. These rejection of these claims, which are dependent on amended claims 8 and 9, is maintained for the reasons discussed above, with regard to the Tsukiji reference.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward J Wojciechowicz whose telephone number is 703-308-4898. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Wednesday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on (703) 308-2772. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/904,042

Art Unit: 2815

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Edward J Wojciechowicz Primary Examiner Art Unit 2815

EW: ew

PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 2500